

The Hamiltonian for the private agent is :

$$H = \frac{1}{\gamma} (C_T C_N^\theta)^\gamma e^{-\rho t} + \lambda e^{-\rho t} [r b + Y_T - C_T + \beta \{Y_N - C_N - I\} - \tau_L - \dot{b}]$$

Optimality conditions: (Note that $k_i = K_i/L_i$; $i = N, T$)

$$C_T^{\gamma-1} C_N^{\theta\gamma} = \lambda \quad (1a)$$

$$\theta C_T^\gamma C_N^{\theta\gamma-1} = \lambda \beta \quad (1b)$$

$$\rho - \frac{\dot{\lambda}}{\lambda} = r \quad (1c)$$

$$\alpha A_T k_T^{\alpha-1} K_g^{\eta(1-\alpha)} = \beta \cdot \beta A_N k_N^{\beta-1} K_g^{\eta(1-\beta)} \quad (1d)$$

$$(1-\alpha) A_T k_T^\alpha K_g^{\eta(1-\alpha)} = \beta(1-\beta) A_N k_N^\beta K_g^{\eta(1-\beta)} \quad (1e)$$

$$\beta A_N k_N^{\beta-1} K_g^{\eta(1-\beta)} + \frac{\dot{\beta}}{\beta} = r \quad (1f)$$

[Note: (1f) is derived noting that $\dot{K} = I$ and $\partial H/\partial K_T = \partial H/\partial K_N = \partial H/\partial K$]

Finally, the usual transversality conditions apply for b and K .

Eq. (1a) and (1b) equate the MU of consumption from the traded and non-traded goods to the MU of wealth. From (1c), given exogenous ρ and r (world interest rate), we require that $\dot{\lambda} = 0 \neq t$, which implies a constant MU of wealth, i.e. $\lambda = \bar{\lambda}, \neq t$. Eqs. (1d) and (1e) equate the marginal returns from allocating capital and labor across the two sectors. Eq. (1f) represents a no-arbitrage condition for capital (non-traded). Since it is only non-traded capital that can be converted to investment, the total return to capital consists of the marginal product of non-traded capital plus ~~the~~ any capital gains/losses from fluctuations in its relative price, $\dot{\beta}$.

From (1a) and (1b) we get

$$C_N = \frac{\theta}{\beta} C_T$$

Using the above in (1a) we can solve for C_T :

$$C_T = \left[\bar{\lambda} \left(\frac{\beta}{\theta} \right)^{\theta \alpha} \right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha(1+\theta)-1}} \quad (2a)$$

Similarly,

$$C_N = \left[\bar{\lambda} \left(\frac{\beta}{\theta} \right)^{1-\gamma} \right]^{\frac{1}{\gamma(1+\theta)-1}} \quad (2b)$$

Dividing (1d) by (1e) we get

$$k_N = \left[\frac{1-\alpha}{1-\beta} \cdot \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right] k_T \quad (3)$$

Using (3) in (1d), we can solve for k_T :

$$k_T = \Delta_1 p^{\frac{1}{\alpha-\beta}} \cdot K_g^{\eta} \equiv k_T(p, K_g) \quad (4a)$$

where

$$\Delta_1 = \left[\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{1-\beta} \cdot \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^{\beta-1} \left(\frac{\beta \cdot A_N}{\alpha A_T} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha-\beta}}$$

It immediately follows, from (3) that

$$k_N = \Delta_2 p^{\frac{1}{\alpha-\beta}} K_g^{\eta} \equiv k_N(p, K_g) \quad (4b)$$

where

$$\Delta_2 = \left[\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{1-\beta} \cdot \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^{\alpha-1} \left(\frac{\beta A_N}{\alpha A_T} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha-\beta}}$$

Note that

$$K = K_T + K_N \quad (5)$$

$$\Rightarrow K = k_T L_T + k_N L_N \quad (5')$$

Since $L_T + L_N = 1$, we can write (5') as

$$K = k_T L_T + k_N (1 - L_T) \quad (5'')$$

Solving (5'') for L_T :

$$L_T = \frac{K - k_N}{k_T - k_N}$$

Using (4a) and (4b),

$$L_T \equiv L_T(p, K, K_g) = \frac{K - k_N(p, K_g)}{k_T(p, K_g) - k_N(p, K_g)} \quad (6)$$

Eqs. (4a), (4b) and (6) enable us to characterize Y_N and Y_T :

$$Y_N = A_N k_N^\beta K_g^{\eta(1-\beta)} (1-L_T) \equiv Y_N(p, K, K_g) \quad (7a)$$

$$Y_T = A_T k_T^\alpha K_g^{\eta(1-\alpha)} L_T \equiv Y_T(p, K, K_g) \quad (7b)$$

Equilibrium Dynamics:

There are 4 dynamic equations that describe the evolution of the economy. First, we have the goods market equilibrium condition in the non-traded sector:

$$Y_N = C_N + I = C_N + \dot{K}$$

$$\Rightarrow \dot{K} = Y_N(p, K, K_g) - C_N(\bar{\lambda}, p) \quad (8a)$$

where $Y_N(\cdot)$ and $C_N(\cdot)$ are given by (7a) and (2b), respectively.

Second, we have the no-arbitrage condition for capital (non-traded) from (1f):

$$\dot{p} = p \left[r - \beta A_N k_N^{\beta-1} K_g^{\eta(1-\beta)} \right] \quad (8b)$$

Third, the evolution of public capital is given by:

$$\dot{K}_g = g \left[Y_T + p Y_N \right] - \delta_g K_g \quad (8c)$$

Finally, the current account dynamics are given by

$$\dot{b} = Y_T - C_T + r b \quad (8d)$$

Of the 4 equations (8a)-(8d), only 3 are independent ($\dot{K}, \dot{p}, \dot{K}_g$) while the evolution of the current account depends on the solution to (8a)-(8c). Therefore, the core dynamics are characterized by:

$$\dot{K} = Y_N(p, K, K_g) - C_N(\bar{\lambda}, p) \quad (9a)$$

$$\dot{K}_g = g[Y_T(p, K, K_g) + p \cdot Y_N(p, K, K_g)] - \delta_g K_g \quad (9b)$$

$$\dot{p} = p[r - \beta A_N \bar{k}_N^{\beta-1} \bar{K}_g^{\eta(1-\beta)}] \quad (9c)$$

The linearized dynamic system can be represented by

$$[\dot{\underline{x}}] = [J][\underline{x} - \underline{\tilde{x}}] \quad (10)$$

where $\underline{x}' = (K, K_g, p)$ ~~and~~, $\underline{\tilde{x}}' = (\tilde{K}, \tilde{K}_g, \tilde{p})$ and $[J]$ is a 3x3 matrix of coefficients a_{ij} ($i, j = 1, 2, 3$). It can be demonstrated that the equilibrium is a saddle path, with K and K_g being the sluggish adjustment variables and p being the "jump" variable. The system will have 2 stable (negative) and 1 unstable (positive) eigenvalue.

Steady-State

The steady-state is attained when $\dot{K} = \dot{K}_g = \dot{p} = 0$ so that $K = \tilde{K}$, $K_g = \tilde{K}_g$ and $p = \tilde{p}$:

$$A_N \bar{k}_N^{\beta} \bar{K}_g^{\eta(1-\beta)} [1 - L_T(\tilde{p}, \tilde{K}, \tilde{K}_g)] = \left[\bar{\lambda} (\tilde{p}/\theta)^{1-\tau} \right]^{1/\tau(1+\theta)-1} \quad (11a)$$

$$g \left[A_T \bar{k}_T^{\alpha} \bar{K}_g^{\eta(1-\alpha)} L_T(\tilde{p}, \tilde{K}, \tilde{K}_g) + \tilde{p} A_N \bar{k}_N^{\beta} \bar{K}_g^{\eta(1-\beta)} \{1 - L_T(\tilde{p}, \tilde{K}, \tilde{K}_g)\} \right] = \delta_g \tilde{K}_g \quad (11b)$$

$$r = \beta A_N \bar{k}_N^{\beta-1} \bar{K}_g^{\eta(1-\beta)} \quad (11c)$$

Also, the following applies in the steady state:

$$\tilde{K} = L_T(\tilde{p}, \tilde{K}, \tilde{K}_g) \tilde{k}_T + \text{[unclear]} [1 - L_T(\tilde{p}, \tilde{K}, \tilde{K}_g)] \tilde{k}_N \quad (11d)$$

$$(\dot{b}=0) \quad A_T \bar{k}_T^{\alpha} \bar{K}_g^{\eta(1-\alpha)} L_T(\tilde{p}, \tilde{K}, \tilde{K}_g) - \left[\bar{\lambda} (\tilde{p}/\theta)^{\text{or}} \right]^{1/\tau(1+\theta)-1} + r \tilde{b} = 0 \quad (11e)$$

$$\alpha A_T \bar{k}_T^{\alpha-1} \bar{K}_g^{\eta(1-\alpha)} \tilde{p} = \beta A_N \bar{k}_N^{\beta-1} \bar{K}_g^{\eta(1-\beta)} \tilde{p} \quad \text{[unclear]}$$

~~We have 6 eqns which can be solved for the [unclear]:~~

$$\tilde{K}, \tilde{K}_g, \tilde{p}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{r}$$

Given that $k_T = k_T(p, K_g)$ and $k_N = k_N(p, K_g)$, eqns. (11a) - (11e) can be solved for $(\tilde{K}, \tilde{K}_g, \tilde{b}, \tilde{p}, \tilde{\lambda})$. Once these are known, the sectoral allocations, $(\tilde{K}_T, \tilde{K}_N)$ and $(\tilde{L}_T, \tilde{L}_N)$ are immediately known.

Getting back to (9c):

$$\dot{p} = p [r - \beta A_N k_N^{\beta-1} K_g^{n(1-\beta)}]$$

~~where~~ From (4b) we see that

$$k_N = \Delta_2 p^{1/\alpha-\beta} K_g^n$$

$$\Rightarrow \dot{p} = p [r - \beta A_N \{ \Delta_2 p^{1/\alpha-\beta} K_g^n \}^{\beta-1} K_g^{n(1-\beta)}]$$

$$\Rightarrow \dot{p} = p [r - \beta A_N \{ \Delta_2 p^{1/\alpha-\beta} \}^{\beta-1} K_g^{n(\beta-1)} K_g^{n(1-\beta)}]$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\dot{p} = p [r - \beta A_N \{ \Delta_2 p^{1/\alpha-\beta} \}^{\beta-1}]} \quad (12)$$

It is clear from (12) that \dot{p} is independent of K_g . Consequently, \tilde{p} will also be independent of K_g . Therefore, government spending shocks, in spite of their productive nature, do not affect the real exchange rate (in transition or in the long-run).

The steady-state real exchange rate can be obtained from (12) by setting $\dot{p} = 0$:

$$\tilde{p} = \left[\frac{\beta A_N}{r \Delta_2^{1-\beta}} \right]^{\alpha-\beta/1-\beta}$$

~~The~~ The real exchange rate is determined by supply-side variables only. Therefore, these results suggest that the standard two-sector dependent economy model's predictions are robust to modifications about the nature of demand shocks.